	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Madin	10/697,390	PASQUALE ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chester T. Barry	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in thi 35) or other appropriate communic RIGHTS. This application is subj	s application. If not included ation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. 🛮 This communication is responsive to <u>10/30/03</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>13-15</u> .		
3. $\square$ The drawings filed on $\_\_\_$ are accepted by the Exami	ner,	
4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) □ All b) □ Some* c) ☒ None of the:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received: □ Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATING DEID HABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. □ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sufficiently in the sufficient of the priority of the Notice of Draftspoon (a) □ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspoon (b) □ including changes required by the attached Examinating Paper No./Mail Date □ Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such 1. □ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deattached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT.	ave been received.  ave been received in Application Note that a position of this application.  The provided in Application of the arguments have been received in the last of this application.  The provided in Application of the argument of this application.  The provided in the standard of the last of th	this national stage application from the eply complying with the requirements  NER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF claration is deficient.  PTO-948) attached  the Office action of  rawings in the front (not the back) of .121(d).  AL must be submitted. Note the
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No./Mail Date 10/30/03)</li> <li>4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposition of Biological Material</li> </ul>	8) 6. ☐ Interview Sumr Paper No./Ma B/08), 7. ☑ Examiner's Am	il Date nendment/Comment stement of Reasons for Allowance
		Chester T. Barry 571-272-1152 direct Art Unit: 1724

Art Unit: 1724

## RESTRICTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- l. Claims 13 15, drawn to a biocarrier, classified in class 502, subclass 7.
- II. Claims 16 17, drawn to a waste treatment apparatus, classified in class210, subclass 198.1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group II has separate utility such as in a wastewater treatment process not involving bacteria. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Shekleton on 6/1/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 13 - 15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16 - 17 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

## In the Claims

Claims 17 – 18 were cancelled as directed to the invention that was not elected without traverse.

## In the Specification

In the preliminary amendment to the specification, at the insertion added after the title, the phrase

--, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,669,847 -

was inserted after "July 20, 2001."

Application/Control Number: 10/697,390 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

structure.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Chemical catalysts used in hydrogenation reactions comprising palladium supported on activated carbon are well known. The prior art of record does not appear to describe, however, bacteria supported on such catalysts. Claim 13 is not merely adapted to support bacteria, but rather must support bacteria. The bacteria are an element of the claimed

Cutler (6303368) describes bacteria supported on activated carbon, and a supported transition metal, but not a combination of denitrifying bacteria and palladium on activated carbon.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

571-272-1152